

The Attorney General of Texas

June 18, 1979

MARK WHITE Attorney General

Supreme Court Building P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX. 78711 512/475-2501

701 Commerce, Suite 200 Delles, TX. 75202 214/742-8944

4824 Alberta Ave., Suite 160 El Paso, TX. 79905 915/533-3484

723 Main, Suite 610 Houston, TX. 77002 713/228-0701

806 Broadway, Suite 312 Lubbock, TX. 79401 806/747-5238

4313 N. Tenth, Suite F McAllen, TX. 78501 512/882-4547

200 Main Plaza, Suite 400 San Antonio, TX. 78205 512/225-4191

An Equal Opportunity/ Affirmative Action Employer Honorable E. D. Walker Chancellor University of Texas System 201 West 7th Street Austin, Texas 78701

Opinion No. MW-25

Re: Assignment to the United States of rights under loans deemed uncollectible under the National Defense Direct Student Loan program.

Dear Chancellor Walker:

You have requested our opinion regarding the authority of University of Texas component institutions to assign to the United States the rights under loans deemed uncollectible under the National Defense Direct Student Loan program. See 20 USC \$1087aa et seq.; 45 CFR \$\$144.1-144.64. You state that the Department of Health, Education & Welfare encourages such assignment by exempting these loans from the computation of the institution's loan default rate. A low default rate is a prerequisite for continued participation in the program. Prior to an assignment, evidence must appear in the borrower's file that the institution has exercised due diligence in attempting to collect the loan. You ask whether this assignment of uncollectible loans contravenes article 3, section 51 of the Texas Constitution, which prohibits the making of any grant of public money "to any individual, association of individuals, municipal or other corporation whatsoever."

Since continued participation requires that an institution comply with federal default standards, and since assignment of uncollectible loans is a principal means of compliance, we believe it is clear that, in making the assignment, the state receives a substantial benefit. In our opinion, assignment serves a proper public purpose. See State v. City of Austin, 331 S.W.2d 737 (Tex. 1960); Byrd v. City of Dallas, 6 S.W.2d 738 (Tex. 1928); See also, Lindsey v. State, 74 S.W. 750 (Tex. 1903), King v. Sheppard, 157 S.W.2d 682, 686 (Tex.Civ.App.—Austin 1941, writ ref'd w.o.m.); Harris County Flood Control District v. Mann, 140 S.W.2d 1098 (Tex. 1940); and Attorney General Opinion H-1033 (1977), We conclude therefore that a public institution of higher education is not prohibited from assigning to the United States student loans deemed uncollectible.

SUMMARY

A public institution of higher education is not prohibited from assigning to the United States student loans deemed uncollectible.

Very truly yours,

MARK WHITE

Attorney General of Texas

JOHN W. FAINTER, JR. First Assistant Attorney General

TED L. HARTLEY
Executive Assistant Attorney General

Prepared by Rick Gilpin
Assistant Attorney General

APPROVED:
OPINION COMMITTEE

C. Robert Heath, Chairman
David B. Brooks
Susan Garrison
Rick Gilpin
William G Reid
Bruce Youngblood

an the responsible to the same and the same of the sam

The state of the second

graphic and the second of the second

aga dagan i ƙawa ƙasarta € 176° a 19

Barrier Commencer Commence

the comparison of the contract of the contract